

Diversity of Tech Companies by the Numbers: 2016 Edition

For the past few years, tech companies have been publicly releasing the diversity statistics of their employees. Over the same amount of time, I've compared their numbers to United States national statistics, via the Bureau of Labor Statistics' releases — you can see that in the [2015](#) and [2014](#) editions.

These tables contain a lot of numbers and are not made responsive very easily. The best way I could think of was to make them scroll horizontally. Please let me know if you run into any weirdness with that.

This year, it's more of the same, in more ways than one: I'll be comparing those stats side-by-side in the same categories as defined by the BLS' EEO-1 form — which limits the available racial and gender identity information — followed by some brief analysis. New this year is that I'm also noting the year-over-year percentage point difference. Please be aware that rounding errors and other factors may create imperfect differences from last year's figures; however, these differences are worthwhile guidance.

One more note: last year, LinkedIn and Yahoo released their stats at the beginning of June and July, respectively, while Amazon and Twitter released theirs later in August. A Yahoo spokesperson told me that their diversity report will be available in September, while a LinkedIn spokesperson is tracking down their report internally. I will update this article should their figures become available.

Gender Diversity

Gender stats are reported by all companies on a global level; ethnic diversity is typically reported at a U.S.-only level. In the past, I've compared both sets of stats against U.S. figures; this year, I'm adding worldwide labour participation rates for genders, for a more complete set of stats. The World Bank only reports female labour force participation for their worldwide stats; the male labour force participation has been inferred based on the binary gender system currently used for these reports.

Gender Diversity, U.S.A.

CATEGORY	MALE	FEMALE
U.S.A. Overall (approx.)	49%	51%
U.S.A. Workforce (PDF)	53.2%	46.8%
	Δ 0	Δ 0

Gender Diversity in Tech Positions

Amazon does not separate tech and non-tech positions, so the same data has been used for both.

COMPANY	MALE	FEMALE
Amazon	63%	37%
Apple	77% Δ -2	23% Δ +1
Facebook	83% Δ -1	17% Δ +1
Google	81% Δ -1	19% Δ +1
LinkedIn	80% Δ -2	20% Δ +2
Microsoft	83.0% Δ +0.2	16.9% Δ +0.2
Twitter	87%	13%
Yahoo	83% Δ -1	17% Δ +1

Gender Diversity in Non-Tech Positions

Amazon does not separate tech and non-tech positions, so the same data has been used for both.

COMPANY	MALE	FEMALE
Amazon	63%	37%

Apple	62%	38%
	Δ -1	Δ +1
Facebook	47%	53%
	Δ -1	Δ +1
Google	53%	47%
	Δ 0	Δ 0
LinkedIn	48%	52%
	Δ -2	Δ +2
Microsoft	58.1%	41.7%
	Δ +1.3	Δ -1.1
Twitter	50%	50%
Yahoo	48%	52%
	Δ +3	Δ -3

Gender Diversity in Leadership/Executive Positions

The “U.S.A.” row uses the “management, business, and financial operations” data row from the BLS report, as a rough and imperfect approximation.

COMPANY	MALE	FEMALE
U.S.A. (PDF, pgs. 23-25)	56.3%	43.8%
	Δ -0.4	Δ +0.5
Amazon	75%	25%
Apple	72%	28%
	Δ 0	Δ 0
Facebook	73%	27%
	Δ -4	Δ +4
Google	76%	24%
	Δ -2	Δ +2
LinkedIn	65%	35%
	Δ -5	Δ +5

Microsoft	82.6%	17.3%
	$\Delta +0.1$	$\Delta -0.1$
Twitter	78%	22%
Yahoo	79%	21%
	$\Delta +3$	$\Delta -3$

Ethnic Diversity

As Google says in their report, “ethnicity refers to the EEO-1 categories which we know are imperfect categorizations of race and ethnicity, but reflect the US government reporting requirements”. Please keep that in mind.

The “U.S.A. Workforce” row uses [data provided by the Bureau of Labor and Statistics](#) (PDF). Their demographics information (indicated page 9) is kind of a pain in the ass, though: the unemployed column is a percentage of the labour force, but the employed column is a percentage of the *total population*. I’ve done the math, though, and the results are what’s shown below. In addition, the BLS does not separate out those of Hispanic descent because “[p]eople whose ethnicity is identified as Hispanic or Latino may be of any race.” As such, the row will not add to 100%, but the percentage of Hispanics in the workforce has been noted per the table on page 10.

Similarly, the “U.S.A. Overall” row uses data from the CIA World Factbook, and they, too, do not note those of Hispanic descent separately. This row will also not add to 100%.

Ethic Diversity, U.S.A.

CATEGORY	WHITE	ASIAN	HISPANIC	BLACK	MIXED
U.S.A. Overall	79.96%	4.43%	15.1%	12.85%	1.61%
U.S.A. Workforce (PDF)	79.1% Δ -0.3	5.6% Δ +0.1	16.3% Δ +0.4	12.1% Δ +0.2	1.8% Δ +0.5

Ethnic Diversity in Tech Positions

This year, I’ve added a row for the U.S.A. tech workforce as a whole, for comparison. It uses the “computer and mathematical operations” data row from the BLS report. Amazon does not separate tech and non-tech employees.

COMPANY	WHITE	ASIAN	HISPANIC	BLACK	MIXED
U.S.A. (PDF, pg. 26)	70.0% Δ -0.9	19.2% Δ +0.7	6.6% Δ +0.3	9.7% Δ +1.4	N/A
Amazon	60%	13%	9%	15%	N/A
Apple	55% Δ +2	27% Δ +2	8% Δ 0	8% Δ +1	2% Δ 0
Facebook	48% Δ -3	46% Δ +3	3% Δ 0	1% Δ 0	2% Δ 0
Google	57% Δ -2	37% Δ +2	3% Δ +1	1% Δ 0	3% Δ 0

LinkedIn	35%	59%	3%	1%	2%
	Δ +1	Δ -2	Δ 0	Δ 0	Δ +1
Microsoft	55.5%	35.8%	3.9%	2.3%	1.3%
	Δ -0.3	Δ +0.4	Δ 0	Δ +0.1	Δ +0.1
Twitter	56%	37%	3%	1%	1%
Yahoo	31%	62%	2%	1%	1%
	Δ 0	Δ +1	Δ -1	Δ 0	Δ 0

Ethnic Diversity in Non-Tech Positions

Amazon does not separate tech and non-tech employees.

COMPANY	WHITE	ASIAN	HISPANIC	BLACK	MIXED
Amazon	60%	13%	9%	15%	N/A
Apple	58%	12%	16%	11%	3%
	Δ +3	Δ +1	Δ +2	Δ +1	Δ 0
Facebook	60%	25%	7%	5%	3%
	Δ -2	Δ +1	Δ 0	Δ +2	Δ 0
Google	63%	23%	5%	4%	4%
	Δ -1	Δ 0	Δ +1	Δ 0	Δ 0
LinkedIn	67%	20%	6%	4%	3%
	Δ +1	Δ -5	Δ +2	Δ +1	Δ +1
Microsoft	67.6%	13.8%	8.6%	6.2%	1.4%
	Δ 0	Δ +0.7	Δ +0.6	Δ +0.1	Δ +0.1
Twitter	62%	24%	4%	4%	1%
Yahoo	67%	18%	6%	3%	3%
	Δ +1	Δ -1	Δ 0	Δ 0	Δ 0

Ethnic Diversity in Leadership/Executive Positions

The “U.S.A.” row uses the “management, business, and financial operations” data from the BLS report, as a rough and imperfect approximation of the broad US national trend.

COMPANY	WHITE	ASIAN	HISPANIC	BLACK	MIXED
U.S.A. (PDF, pg. 25)	84.2% Δ -0.1	6.1% Δ 0	8.9% Δ +0.5	7.5% Δ +0.1	N/A
Amazon	71%	18%	4%	4%	N/A
Apple	67% Δ +4	21% Δ 0	7% Δ +1	3% Δ 0	1% Δ N/A
Facebook	71% Δ -2	21% Δ 0	3% Δ 0	3% Δ +1	2% Δ +1
Google	70% Δ -2	25% Δ +2	1% Δ 0	2% Δ 0	2% Δ +1
LinkedIn	63% Δ 0	30% Δ 0	3% Δ -1	1% Δ 0	3% Δ +1
Microsoft	70.1% Δ -1.0	22.4% Δ +1.1	4.0% Δ +0.1	2.1% Δ -0.1	0.7% Δ 0
Twitter	72%	28%	0%	0%	0%
Yahoo	72% Δ -1	22% Δ +3	3% Δ +1	0% Δ -1	0% Δ -2

Analysis

Let’s get something out of the way: I’m a white twenty-something Canadian who graduated from art college. Analysis of statistics of racial and gender diversity at American tech

companies is not exactly my strongest suit. But, hey, you've made it this far. I want to be as fair as possible to everyone represented in these stats and at these companies. If there's a problem, [please let me know](#).

- Apple notes this year that they achieved pay equity for all U.S. employees.
- Apple also says that they reduced the amount of employees who chose not to declare their race or ethnicity compared to previous years. The majority of those identified as white.
- Microsoft was a real mixed bag this year, becoming whiter and more male in a few areas — and, in some, significantly so.
- Facebook made a relatively large 8 percentage-point shift in favour of women in leadership roles. No other company reported as large of a gain in any demographic.
- Facebook also became the first company to highlight their LGBTQ community, with 7% of their staff identifying.
- However, a disproportionately low presence of black employees continues at Facebook, Google, and Microsoft. [All three companies](#) have released products with flaws experienced by black and darker-skinned users — issues that, if those companies had a greater proportion of

black employees, would likely have been found and corrected.

- I will reiterate that one of the excuses most frequently cited by tech companies for their lack of diversity is a small selection of underrepresented prospective employees coming out of colleges and universities in the United States. [This is false](#).
- Across the board, most gains are on the order of one or two percentage points, or even less. This is similar to last year's incremental improvements.
- Even though half the companies I survey annually have yet to release their latest data, I don't anticipate much difference from last year. As I said at the top, however, I will update this should those figures become available.
- Something that, unfortunately, comes with reporting any stats on gender and ethnicity is that angry white men use it to try to support their thesis that the white male is oppressed. These people can quietly fuck themselves.

UPDATE AUG 15: A LinkedIn spokesperson has told me that their stats will be out by the beginning of October, but noted that their numbers are "looking strong". We shall see.

UPDATE OCT 19: [LinkedIn's figures](#) are now current for 2016. LinkedIn reported some of the

most positive gains overall, especially for women at the company. LinkedIn remains one of the few companies where the non-tech category has more women than men. Even so, an 80/20 split for tech employees puts them in the middle of a pack led by Amazon and Apple.

UPDATE OCT 31: [Yahoo's data](#) is now current for 2016. Their non-tech staff actually became whiter and more male overall, while leadership staff also became more male. There are some minor indications of improvements, but this year's report from Yahoo generally shows a regressing trend — completely the opposite of the claims of a [recent lawsuit](#) against Yahoo.

— AUGUST 9, 2016

OLDER

[Intuition and iOS](#)

NEWER

[Breadth and Depth](#)



It's teamwork, but simpler, more pleasant and more productive.

ADS VIA CARBON

ABOUT

ARTICLES

ARCHIVE

READING LIST

Assembled from bits daily in [Calgary](#) and around the world. Thanks for reading.

Follow Pixel Envy on [Twitter](#), or subscribe via [Apple News](#), [RSS](#), or [JSON](#). [Your privacy](#) is important to me.

© Nick Heer.